



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/384,073	9/384,073 08/26/1999		WATARU ISHISAKI	0671.63110	7351
24978	7590	12/09/2002			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR				EXAMINER	
				SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
CHICAGO, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

Best Available Copy



UNITED STATES DEFENTMENT OF COMMERCI

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

PAPER NUMBER

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION						
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) is extended to run or continues to run 3 to s' from the date of the final rejection						
b) the expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fer The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	į					
The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:						
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.						
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.						
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: Specifying that the conservice actuations Mount be of a Same input device within the problemment time interval brings or the sourced issue that the problemment time interval brings or the sourced issue that out such possibilities, and this that the cla This amendment rules out such possibilities, and this that the cla The such ment rules of such as separately filed amendment cancelling 2. Newly proposed or amended claims Note: Specifying that the consideration and separately filed amendment cancelling	- E g ik s					
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	9					
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:						
Claims allowed:						
Claims objected to:						
However:						
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	-					
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection, because The amendment, although herit, Canut be entered Or this along	- - -					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	_					
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.						
☐ Other STEVEN SAX						